ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN STATEMENT

TO ACCOMPANY SITE COMPATIBILITY CERTIFICATE APPLICATION

WESTS MAYFIELD 32 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE, MAYFIELD, NSW 2304



Artistic Impression: Concept masterplan for the Independent living development at Wests Mayfield.

architecture

412 king street newcastle nsw 2300

p 02 4929 2353 f 02 4926 3069 e mail@eje.com.au

> ACN 002 912 843 ABN 82 644 649 849

16th February 2018 Ref: 11964-Architectural Design Statment.docx



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	2
2.0	DEVE	OPMENT DESCRIPTION	2
	2.1	Context	
	2.2	Development Type4	
3.0	DEVE	OPING THE CONTROLS	5
	3.1	Building Envelope	
		3.1.1 Setbacks	5
		3.1.2 Building Height	5
		3.1.3 Floor Space Ratio	6
		3.1.4 Building Depth	6
		3.1.5 Building Separation	6
4.0	SITIN	G THE DEVELOPMENT	6
	4.1	Site Analysis, Orientation, Public Interface	
	4.2	Communal and Public Open Space6	
	4.3	Deep Soil Zones	
	4.4	Visual Privacy7	
	4.5	Pedestrian Access	
	4.6	Vehicle Access, Parking & Bike Parking8	
5.0	DESIC	NING THE BUILDING	9
	5.1	Generating the Concept9	
	5.2	Solar Access	
	5.3	Ventilation	
	5.4	Apartment Sizes & Apartment Mix10	
	5.5	Primary Open Space & Balconies10	
	5.6	Common Circulation Spaces10	
	5.7	Apartment Storage10	
	5.8	Universal Design10	
	5.9	Waste Storage11	
6.0	ARCH	ITECTS STATEMENT	12



Prepared by EJE Architecture

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Architectural Design Statement has been prepared to accompany a site compatibility application and has been undertaken at the request of the Wests Group Newcastle.

Careful consideration has been given to the masterplan and conceptual design as well as the potential apartment and landscaping designs of the proposal to ensure that the needs of future residents will be met and that the development will provide a high standard of housing which is compatible with surrounding development. Importantly the development itself is in its infancy in relation to design and hence this report will only assess whether the concept presented is capable of compliance with relevant development standards.

This report serves to interrogate the potential design outcomes in relation to the possible adherence to the State Environmental Planning Policy Number 65 – Design Quality of Residential Flat Development as well as the referenced 'Apartment Design Guide' (ADG) published by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

2.0 DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is a mix of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom apartments over nine floors & includes 216 Aged Care beds over seven floors. The apartments are located in three separate buildings to the south of the existing registered club (Wests Mayfield) while the Aged Care building is located to the west of the club & has direct vehicular access via Industrial Drive. The residential development is proposed for the land currently used as a training ground by the Newcastle Knights, while the Aged Care development is proposed for a vacant portion of the site on the western side of the existing Club. A reduction of car parking is justified as the existing 'Balance' gym & pool will no longer be open for public use as it will be for exclusive use of the residents.





2.1 Context

Located 160km north of Sydney, Newcastle is the second oldest city in Australia & the second largest city in NSW. It is a unique city as it has the world's largest coal export port which is surrounded by beautiful coastline & beaches. It regularly attracts visitors from all over the world.

In a holistic sense, this development is one of many currently being investigated by the Wests Group to provide appropriate residential accommodation to its members and the general retirement community.



Figure 1. National context

Like many tourism towns, Newcastle is in need of providing appropriate facilities for ageing locals as well as those relocating from Sydney or nearby regional towns. This proposal presents an attractive offering for a lifestyle driven ageing population & is located approx. 5km north west of the existing Newcastle CBD.



Figure 2. Local context





Figure 3. Site context

The site is ideally orientated for the provision of a residential development to the south east of the existing club. The land is primarily used as a training field for the Newcastle Knights rugby league team which will be relocated to Broadmeadow in the near future. This location will ensure the resident community enjoys an ideal solar aspect with predominantly north facing units while not overshadowing the existing neighbours to the south. The existing gym & pool facilities linked to the club are now proposed for exclusive use of the residents which will in turn result in a significant reduction in parking demand & provide amenity for the residents. Importantly, this is a community development where the residents are likely to come from and remain in the Wests membership base. This places a higher expectation on the quality of development.

The vacant parcel of land to the west of the existing club is an ideal location for the aged care development. It is separate from the residential component which allows it to have its own identity, yet is still closely linked to the club & has direct access off Industrial Drive for service vehicles.

The use of land associated with registered clubs for the purpose of independent living is identified in *State Environmental Planning Policy 65: Housing for seniors and people with a* Disability and as such is clearly a desirable usage of this site.

2.2 Development Type

The proposed development can be defined as a courtyard style development, with a street wall edge to William Street providing the desired urban character. Apartments in the form of three fingers extend to the west of the street wall creating two intimate courtyards for the use of residents. The proposed scale, bulk & height along William St responds to the existing character of the street & surrounding buildings. This is achieved by stepping the scale from the existing seven storey hotel to the north, down to the existing 1-2 storey dwellings to the south of the proposed development.

Many different development types have been considered for the site but after investigating these options it became clear that maximising the number of north aspect apartments & a strong street wall to William Street, the central courtyard option provided the highest level of amenity for the future residents as well as a responding to the existing character of the area.



3.0 DEVELOPING THE CONTROLS

3.1 Building Envelope

3.1.1 Setbacks

Setbacks for multi storey buildings are described in the Council DCP and are summarised below:

Road Type	Front Setback			
Zone	R2	R3, R4 or B4		
Primary road	4.5m	4.5 m		
Corner lot (secondary road)	2m	2m		
Classified road	As defined in any applica Instrument, or if none ex	able Environmental Planning		

Wall height	Side and rear setbacks
Up to 4.5	1.5m
4.5 - 8.5m	3m
Over 8.5m	6m

Figure 4. Newcastle DCP 2012 Controls

NCC DCP 2012 Controls = Front: 4.5m	Rear: 6.0m	Side: 6.0m
-------------------------------------	------------	------------

The proposal is capable of compliance with the DCP setbacks for the site. The proposal also needs to comply with the ADG in relation to existing development, retaining significant trees, visual & acoustic privacy, maximise deep soil areas, overshadowing & transitioning between sites with different land uses. With all these factors being considered, the following setbacks are proposed for the residential buildings along William St:

Front: 13.0m (to retain significant trees) Side: 17.5m (to eliminate overshadowing to neighbours)

3.1.2 Building Height

The site is zoned RE2 Private Recreation pursuant to Newcastle Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012 therefore there are no height limitations. Figure 4 below shows the proposed building heights. The existing club & the gym/pool building are approx two storeys high with the existing hotel at approx seven storeys high. The proposed aged care building will be a similar height to the hotel which will book end the existing club building. The proposal is sympethetic to the existing context with the only buildings to exceed the height of the existing hotel are the two buildings over the multi-storey carpark which are located in the middle of the site & do not overshadow the existing dwellings to the south.

INDUSTRIAL DRIVE



Figure 5. Proposed Building Heights



3.1.3 Floor Space Ratio

The site is zoned RE2 Private Recreation pursuant to Newcastle Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012 therefore there are no FSR limitations. The proposal adds an additional 38,390m² of GFA to the site which increases the total GFA for the site to 50,260m². The site area is 48,498m² which gives an **FSR of 1.036:1**.

3.1.4 Building Depth

The building design is such that compliance with the maximum building depth is likely, largely due to its tall slender forms. Buildings with thinner cross sections are generally better suited to providing a higher quality of residential amenity. Further analysis to be undertaken upon more detailed design.

3.1.5 Building Separation

The proposal is designed in such a way that compliance with building separation requirements is achievable. The proposal as depicted shows approx 21m between balconies in the north-south direction & approx 19m between buildings in the east-west direction. This is in excess of the minimum 18 meters recommended between habitable spaces for buildings up to 8 storeys high.

4.0 SITING THE DEVELOPMENT

4.1 Site Analysis, Orientation, Public Interface

The document set contains a comprehensive site analysis including geographical context at a local and wider scale, existing land use, surrounding land condition etc. The site analysis and shadow diagrams demonstrate the positioning of the buildings to ensure solar penetration to all buildings without causing overshadowing on adjoining properties. The definition of public and private space has been considered and delineated and is likely to provide a clear hierarchy of spaces during the design development phase.

4.2 Communal and Public Open Space

The key strategic decision to the built form to the eastern boundary to create a street wall edge to William Street & orientating the remaining built forms in an east-west direction, lends itself to the creation of more intimate courtyards between the buildings which can be utilised by a smaller number of residents – refer Figure 6. While there are these intimate courtyards, there are still a number of larger communal areas for larger gatherings &/or recreational use. All communal areas will have good passive surveillance from apartments over and would likely generate a sense of ownership of the residents. This is important to ensure the space is well treated by its users.

While the ADG requires a minimum 25% of site are to be communal open space, the proposed development will achieve approx 45% of the total site area.



In addition, the proposal will link the communal outdoor space in the south western corner of the site with the communal indoor area. The existing 'Balance' gym & pool will no longer be open for public use as it will be for exclusive use of the residents.



Figure 6. Open Space diagram

4.3 Deep Soil Zones

The concept includes areas of deep soil zone along William St, the southern boundary & the large open space in the south western corner of the site. These areas have a much greater minimum dimension than the ADG requires. The ADG requires min 7% of the site area to be designated deep soil zones & the proposal will provide approx. 20% of the site for deep soil zones. These areas contain large existing trees which we are proposing to retain & provide large setbacks from boundaries to assist with the long term health of these trees.

4.4 Visual Privacy

The development meets the minimum requirements for privacy which is essentially mandated by meeting the 18m building separation for buildings up to 8 storeys high. Additional design and analysis will be required during the design development phase to ensure visual privacy is being considered.

Apartment buildings should increase the building separation distance by 3m (total of 9m) when adjacent to a different zone that permits lower density residential development. This occurs along the southern boundary of the site yet we have nearly double the required setback (17m) to assist with visual & acoustic privacy as well as overshadowing.



4.5 Pedestrian Access

The concept design has been carefully considered to provide appropriate pedestrian entrances. Design priority has been given to isolating pedestrian movements from vehicular ones.

The two primary access points for the residential development are directly off William St (to activate the street edge) & from the northern edge of the development (traffic from the existing Club). The main access point for the aged care development is off Industrial Drive.



Figure 7. Pedestrian Access points

4.6 Vehicle Access, Parking & Bike Parking

Care has been taken to isolate different access methods from each other to ensure the safest movement of people and vehicles can occur. We have minimised the amount of vehicular access points to three in total. The existing entry/exit point off William Street will remain while an additional entry/exit point off William Street has been introduced at the south eastern corner of the site. The third access point will occur off Industrial Dr & will service the Aged Care development. A traffic report will be undertaken as part of the DA phase to determine whether any intersection upgrades are required. Car & bike parking numbers will comply with the requirements set out in the DCP.



Figure 8. Vehicular Access points



5.0 DESIGNING THE BUILDING

5.1 Generating the Concept

One of the initial masterplan concepts was to provide a built from along William St to activate the streetscape while maintaining the existing trees. Off this built form, three fingers were introduced running in an east-west direction to provide good amenity to the units. Three other buildings were introduced that ran in a north-south direction with one being built over the existing multi-storey carpark. Upon reviewing the environmental impacts, it was decided that the three buildings needed to be rotated to increase the amount of north facing units & reduce the number of west facing units. After considering the surrounding context, it was decided that the bulk & scale of the eastern buildings needed to step down from the existing Hotel (7 storeys) to the north, down to the existing residential dwellings (1-2 storeys) to the south. In order to maintain the desired yield, these units were placed above the carpark buildings in the centre of the site to reduce visual/acoustic privacy as well as overshadowing of neighbouring dwellings.



Figure 9. Concept drawings

The end result is a well considered masterplan which addresses environmental & social concerns while providing a high level of amenity for the residents & maintaining the high level of amenity for surrounding neighbours.

5.2 Solar Access

The ADG recommends achieving a minimum of 70% apartments having access to 3 hours of sunlight to the living room in mid-winter.

The design & orientation of the buildings lends itself to compliance with solar access. The proposal is capable of compliance with further investigation during the design development phase.



5.3 Ventilation

The ADG recommends achieving cross flow ventilation to 60% of the apartments.

The nature of the building is such that the design is capable of compliance with further investigation during the design development phase.

5.4 Apartment Sizes & Apartment Mix

The current proposal makes use of a mix of 1, 2 & 3 Bedroom units. Further investigation during the design development phase is likely to yield a different mix of apartments. It is noted that 2 bedroom residences for independent living apartments generally show the strongest market demand. Final mix is likely to be a combination of market demands, design factors and feasibility considerations. The current design has 262 residential units comprising of:

-	55 x 3 Bed units	@ 130m²	(21%)
-	45 x 2 Bed + units	@ 120m ²	(17%)
-	64 x 2 Bed units	@ 110m ²	(24%)
-	98 x 1 Bed units	@ 80m²	(38%)

5.5 Primary Open Space & Balconies

The development as presented is capable of achieving compliance with this aspect of the ADG during the design development phase.

5.6 Common Circulation Spaces

Common circulation spaces are provided with some buildings receiving natural light at all levels. In addition the maximum number of apartments accessible of any core per level is limited to 6 which is less than the 8 recommended in the ADG.

It is therefore assumed that the concept is likely to provide a positive outcome in regard to common circulation spaces.

5.7 Apartment Storage

The approximate apartment sizes appear sufficient to enable the likely program as well as appropriate storage. Compliance with this is to be tested at the developed design stage.

5.8 Universal Design

The development is geared at independent living apartments and as such all apartments will be capable of being adapted for use by a resident with a disability.



5.9 Waste Storage

Adequate waste storage has been incorporated rubbish storage room incorporated into the car park level. This room will be serviceable from a loading dock location which will have adequate manoeuvring spaces.

Waste estimates will be calculated using the following EPA guidelines:

Rubbish - 80L per apartment per week

Recycling - 40L per apartment per week co-mingled

Green Waste - Dependent on development management plan



6.0 ARCHITECTS STATEMENT

The proposal for independent living apartments on the Wests Mayfield site represents a truly community driven development. The proponents of the development, the West Group Limited, are a community operated asset which provides financial support to local sporting teams as well as services to the general community and provides a vital community facility promoting fitness and social opportunity.

As the developer, a natural process of review has been undertaken by the board, as well as the club and wider community. The enthusiastic support received from these sectors of the community suggest that the development will be popular, and ultimately successful.

At this early stage the design of the development has been carefully considered from master planning level. Further development though to site resolution and detailing is subject to greater analysis in the future, however the proposal as documented is generally capable of compliance with all elements of the Apartment Design Guide, as well as other relevant legislation. In addition, the concept is capable of being developed into a strong architectural statement for the permanent residents of Mayfield.

The State Environmental Planning Proposal: Housing for Seniors and People with a Disability, makes this an ideal and strategically sound use for the site. In addition, this development will give the club the opportunity to continue their plans of diversification, thus assisting them to remain a strong, vibrant community asset well into the future.

Should you wish to discuss any element of this proposal, please do not hesitate to contact or Bede Campbell at EJE Architecture.

Bede Campbell – NSWARB 9837 Principal EJE Architecture

Nominated Architect: Bernard Collins NSWARB 4438



WESTS MAYFIELD APARTMENT YIELD - REV D

BUILDING

APARTMENT TYPE NOMINAL

QUANTITY NLA (m²) GFA (m²)

BUILDING A (6 STOREY)

		1	_		
GROUND	3 BEDROOM	130	6	780	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	10	1100	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
LEVEL 1	3 BEDROOM	130	7	910	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	130	5	600	
		-	5		
	2 BEDROOM	110	-	770	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
LEVEL 2	3 BEDROOM	130	7	910	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	5	600	
	2 BEDROOM	110	7	770	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
		400	7	040	
LEVEL 3	3 BEDROOM	130	7	910	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	5	600	
	2 BEDROOM	110	7	770	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
LEVEL 4	3 BEDROOM	130	5	650	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	5	550	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	4	320	
			-		
LEVEL 5	3 BEDROOM	130	3	390	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	0	0	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	2	160	
TOTAL			122	13410	
TOTAL GFA (85% EFFICIENCY)	LIFTS/CORES/ETC			0.85	15775

BUILDING B (8 STOREY)

GROUND	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	2	160	
LEVEL 1	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
LEVEL 2	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
LEVEL 3	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	4	480	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	5	400	



TOTAL GFA (85% EFFICIENCY)	LIFTS/CORES/ETC			0.85	7541
TOTAL			64	6410	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
LEVEL 7	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
LEVEL 6	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
LEVEL 5	3 BEDROOM	130	2	260	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
LEVEL 4	3 BEDROOM	130	2	260	

BUIII	_DING	C. (9	STO	REY)

GROUND	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	2	160	
		400	4	400	
LEVEL 1	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
LEVEL 2	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
		400	4	100	
LEVEL 3	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	4	480	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	5	400	
LEVEL 4	3 BEDROOM	130	2	260	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
		1	-		
LEVEL 5	3 BEDROOM	130	2	260	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	
LEVEL 6	3 BEDROOM	130	2	260	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	2	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	2	220	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	6	480	



TOTAL - ALL APARTMENTS			262		32268
TOTAL GFA (85% EFFICIENCY)	LIFTS/CORES/ETC			0.85	8952
TOTAL			76	7610	
				210	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
LEVEL 8	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	
	1 BED/SITTER	80	3	240	
	2 BEDROOM	110	1	110	
	2 BEDROOM EXTRA	120	1	120	
LEVEL 7	3 BEDROOM	130	1	130	

BUILDING D (7 STOREY)

216 BEDS



E/E architecture

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT SITE COMPATIBILITY CERTIFICATE







WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LOCATION PLAN - NTS



EJE ARCHITECTURE ACN 002 912 843 | ABN 82 644 649 849 Nominated Architect - Bernard Collins

> CIME STREET, NEWLCASTLE, SNOW 2200 2499 2335, IF - A 1996 2390 I = mail/elip, com.au E FON OF THE GULLITY A SSIGNACE CHECKS SY VERFEATION THAT THE DOCUMENT BESTIMT THE REQUERENTIS OF THE AUXITY PROJECT FAIL WHERE THE COLLITY ANCE CHECK IS INCOMPLETE THIS DOCUMENT SI REFLAMINARY FOR MFORMATOR SSIGNAT, ON SSICH PHONDSS AS SAT STATED IN THE REVSON COLLIMIN. EAS, MFORMATION AND CONCEPTS CONTARED IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE THE PROPENT OFFICES WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PROSISION OF LEAR ARCHITECTURE IS AN OFFICES WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PROSISION OF LEAR ARCHITECTURE IS AN





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT SITE ANALYSIS - NTS



EJE ARCHITECTURE ACN 002 912 813 | ABN 82 644 649 849 Nominated Archited - Bernard Collins Wild Arabitedine Devicteding No. 4/29







PROPOSED APARTMENTS OVER EX. CARPARK A PREVIOUS CONCEPT MASTERPLAN HAD AN APARTMENT BUILDING LOCATED IN THE SOUTH WESTERN CORNER OF THE SITE. WE PROPOSE TO GIVE THIS SPACE BACK TO RESIDENTS AS RECREATION/PARK WHICH WILL ADJOIN AVON STREET RESERVE. TO MAINTAIN THE REQUIRED YEILD, WE PROPOSE TO BUILDING OVER THE EXISTING MULTI STOREY CARPARK WHICH WILL KEEP THE HEIGHT &

BULK IN THE CENTRE OF THE SITE & AWAY FROM ADJOINING RESIDENTIAL LOTS TO THE SOUTH

ROTATE APARTMENTS OVER EX. CARPARK

ONCE REVIEWING THE UNIT LAYOUTS, WE DECIDED IT WOULD BE MORE BENEFICIAL TO RUN ALL BUILDINGS EAST-WEST WHICH WOULD GIVE US MORE NORTH FACING UNITS & ELIMINATE ANY 'WEST ONLY' FACING UNITS. THIS HAS RESULTED IN TWO BUILDINGS BEING BUILT OVER THE EXISTING MULTI-STOREY CARPARK & WE ARE ALSO PROPOSING TO COVER THE EXISTING CARPARK WHICH BECOMES THE PODIUM LEVEL FOR THE UNITS.

MOVE BULK & HEIGHT TO CENTRE OF SITE

IN ORDER TO MINIMISE ANY PRIVACY ISSUES OR OVERSHADOWING OF ADJOINING RESIDENTS, WE HAVE PROPOSED A TRANSITION IN HEIGHT FROM THE EXISTING HOTEL (8 STOREYS) DOWN TO THE SINGLE STOREY DWELLINGS BY STEPPING THE BUILDING DOWN ALONG WILLIAM ST. TO MAINTAIN THE REQ'D YEILD, WE HAVE MOVED THESE UNITS ABOVE THE CARPARK BUILDINGS IN ORDER TO KEEP THAT BULK/HEIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SITE TO REDUCE THE IMPACT ON NEIGHBOURS.

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS



PROPOSED SITE ARRANGEMENT

THE END RESULT IS A WELL CONSIDERED MASTERPLAN WHICH ADDRESSES ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL CONCERNS. THE PROPOSED UNITS PROVIDE A HIGH LEVEL OF AMENITY FOR THE RESIDENTS WHILE ALSO MAINTAINING THE HIGH LEVEL OF AMENITY TO SURROUNDING NEIGHBOURS. BY MOVING THE BULK/HEIGHT INTO THE CENTRE OF THE SITE, NO OVERSHADOWING OCCURS TO NEIGHBOURS

EJE ARCHITECTURE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT CONTEXTUAL ANALYSIS 1:750 @ A3



EAST ELEVATION







EJE ARCHITECTURE

02 912 843 ABN 82 644 649 849 ted Architect - Bernard Collins

KING STREET, NEW CASTLE, KOW 2000 24292 2533 | F + 61 2 4726 26309 | E mail@eje.com.au | W www.eje.com.au E tono of The Guilleau King So f The Guality PROJECT PLAN, WHERE THE GUALIT DRMS WITH THE REQUIREALENTS OF THE GUALITY PROJECT PLAN, WHERE THE GUALITY ANACE CHECK IS NOOMASTE IF HS OOUDMANT IS PREVENTION OF WORKANTO SSES ONLY, ON SUCH PURPOSES AS STATED IN THE REVISION COLUMENT ARE THE PROPERT AS., MICENDATION AND CONCEPTS CONTINUE ON HEIR DOCUMENT ARE THE PROPERT



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





 3 BED
 x
 55

 2 BED+
 x
 45

 2 BED
 x
 64

 1 BED
 x
 98

 TOTAL
 262

TOTAL

2 BED+ x 14 2 BED x 14 <u>1 BED x 37</u> TOTAL 76

3 BED x 11

BUILDING C

<u>1 BED x 31</u> TOTAL 64

3 BED x 9 2 BED+ x 12 2 BED x 12

BUILDING B

<u>1 BED x 30</u> TOTAL 122

2 BED + x 19 2 BED x 38

3 BED x 35

BUILDING A

PROPOSED YIELD



INDUSTRIAL DRIVE





EJE ARCHITECTURE ACN 002 912 843 | ABN 82 644 649 849 Nominated Architect - Bernard Collins

NEW Achitects Registration No.488 A 412 KING STREES. NEWCASTLE, NEW 2300 P + 612 4929 2533] F + 612 4924 3009] E mail@eje.com.au | W www.eje.com.au COMFERING OF THE OULLITY ASSUMCE CHECKS & VERPECATION THAT THE DOCUMENT SUSMARCE CHECK IS INCOMPTEET THE DOCUMENT IS PREJUMENT FOR WITH ASSUMANCE CHECK IS INCOMPTEET THIS DOCUMENT IS PREJUMENT FOR WITH PURPOSES ONLY. OR SUCH PURPOSES AS STATED IN THE REVISION COLUMENT AND PASSING OF THE DEAS. INFORMATION AND CONCEPTS CONTINUED IN THIS DOCUMENT AND THE PROPERT OF ELE ARCHITECTURE PHOTOCOPYING OR REPROJUCING THIS DOCUMENT AND PASSING OF THE OUTLY OF THE PURPOSE SERVICES ON OF ELE ARCHITECTURE IS A DISTORMED THE PURPOSE. THE SERVICES ON OF ELE ARCHITECTURE IS A







BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3



WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

Nethics Registation No.488 Nick STREET, NexoSTLE, Now 2200 24/92/3231 | F-612.4763.3090 | E-mail@ejc.com.au | W.www.ejc.com.au 1610k OF, THE QUALITY, ASURANCE CHECKS & VERMIANTON THAT THE DOCUMENT 1600k CHECKS & VERMIATE THE COUNTRY IS SPECIFIC PARTICLE THE DOCUMENT DAVEC CHECKS & NOVMENTE THE THE COUNTRY IS SPECIFIC PARTICLE THE DOCUMENT OSES ONLY, OR SUCH PURPOSES AS STATED IN THE REVEND COLUMN.

00mm ON ORIGINAL A3





PMENT WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELO GROUND FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3







EJE ARCHITECTURE

ANCE CHECKS IS VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT OF THE QUALITY PROJECT PLAN, WHERE THE QUALITY THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY FOR INFORMATION AS STATED IN THE REVISION COLLINAM





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 1 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





EJE ARCHITECTURE

02 912 843 | ABN 82 644 649 849 ted Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 2 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 3 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 4 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 5 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 6 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 7 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT LEVEL 8 FLOOR PLAN 1:1000 @ A3





WILLIAM STREET

EJE ARCHITECTURE

ated Architect - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438

AND JACEL, NEW OLIVOUR 2007 AUDITION AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA AUDITIONA AUDITA AUDITIONA A

EJE

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT SHADOW DIAGRAMS 1:2500 @ A3

EXISTING SHADOWS FROM HOTEL

EXISTING SHADOWS FROM FIG TREES

PROPOSED SHADOWS

JUNE 21 9AM

JUNE 21 12PM











JUNE 21 3PM

THE SHADOW DIAGRAMS DEMONSTRATE THAT NO DWELLING WOULD BE BURDENED WITH OVERSHADOWING TO THEIR PRIVATE OPEN SPACE. ANY DWELLING THAT DOES HAVE SOME OVERSHADOWING STILL RECEIVES AT LEAST 3 HOURS SUNLIGHT PER DAY TO WINDOWS THAT CURRENTLY RECEIVE SUNLIGHT.



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT WESTS **3D PERSPECTIVES 01**



EJE ARCHITECTURE





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT WESTS 3D PERSPECTIVES 02



EJE ARCHITECTURE





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT 3D PERSPECTIVES 03



EJE ARCHITECTURE

Ind Architel - Benand Callins Index Architel - Benand Callins CNIX STREET, NEW CASTLE, ISAV 200 RAY 27353 [F - AT 2462 3690] E mail@leja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au E FION, OF THE CUALITY ASSUBANCE: CHECKS S VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCLIMENT MAY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE UQUITY PROJECT ANA, WHERE THE DUALITY MAY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE UQUITY PROJECT ANA, WHERE THE DUALITY MAY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE UQUITY PROJECT ANA, WHERE THE DUALITY ARCS OF THE CUALITY ASSUBATION OF THE ARCHITE AND ARCHITE ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS AS STATEMENT THE RYSDIN COLUMN: ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS OF ROMANDIA DAY AND ARCHITE AND ARSING ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS OF ROMANDIA DAY AND ARCHITE AND ARSING ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS OF ROMANDIA DAY AND ARCHITE AND ARSING ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS OF ROMANDIA DAY AND ARCHITE AND ARSING ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCONFERS OF ROMANDIA DAY AND ARCHITE ARCHITECTURE ARCHITECTURE ARCHITECTURE IS AN




WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT WESTS 3D PERSPECTIVES 04



EJE ARCHITECTURE





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT 3D PERSPECTIVES 05



EJE ARCHITECTURE

chastes Registration to A18 (words) STREFT, 154/WORDSTRE_155W 2020 24/99/2353 | F +612.4926.5069 | E mail@eje.com.au | W www.eje.com.au Enfont of The CuluITY ASSUMANCE CHECKS IS VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT May Writh The REQUERENTIS OF THE OUTLY PROJECT FAM. WYERE THE OUTLY MAY WRITH THE REQUERENT STOFT THE OUTLY PROJECT FAM. WYERE THE OUTLY MAY WRITH THE REQUERENT STOFT THE OUTLY PROJECT FAM. WYERE THE OUTLY MAY WRITH THE REQUERENT STOFT THE OUTLY PROJECT FAM. WYERE THE OUTLY MAY WRITH THE REQUERENT STOFT THE REVESTOR OF COMMANT ARE THE PROFER SEE SIGNLY, OR SUCH PURPOSES AS STATED IN THE REVESTOR OCCUMENT AND PASSING COMMAND AND CONCEPTS CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT AND PASSING THE ABCHTECTURE, PHOTOCOMPTING OR REPRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT AND PASSING DHERES WITHOUT THE EXPRESSION OF ELE ARCHTECTURE IS AND

ヒノヒ



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT 3D PERSPECTIVES 06



EJE ARCHITECTURE

Iod Archiel - Bernard Collins Christiches Registation No.438 2002 STEFET, NEW XOSTE, ISNV 2000 2002 STEFET, NEW XOSTE, ISNV 2000 2002 STEFET 2002 AVEX 2000 2002 STEFET 2002 STEFET 2002 STEFET 2002 STEFET 2002 STEFET 2002 2002 STEFET 2





WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT VIEWPOINT LOCATIONS



E ARCHITECTURE 02 912 813 | ABN 82 644 619 849 1464 Archite- - Bernard Collins rchitects Registration No.4438 KING STREET, NEWCASTLE, NSW 2300 2 4299 2353 | T - 612 4926 3069 | E mail@e

2429 2535 | F +61 2462 3699 | E mail@ipcom.u) | W www.jccom.uu Letonor the column Assumance crecks is proprioration that the occument Data with the recoursents of the outality Prodict Plan where the column variable of the column assumance column is representationary for information of the column assumance column is a representation of the column is sets only .or such purposes as stated in the revision column are the assumed to the column assumance column is a representation of the system and columns is represented as a state of the propriet a accurate crue photocopy this column is a represented and passing the columns and columns assumation in this occument and passing the system assumation and the system assumation and the system and the system of the system assumation assumed as a state of the system assumed as a print of the system assumed as a state of the state of the system assumed as a state of the system assumed as a state of the system assumed as a state of the system as a state of the system assumed as a state of the system assumed as a state of the system as a state of the system assumed as a state of the system as a state of the system





EXISTING - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + WILLIAM ST

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT VIEWPOINT 01





PROPOSED - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + WILLIAM ST



KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE

IGM 201861 - Semain Quins IGM 201861 - Semain Quins ACEL 559 2000 2 909 2353 | F + 61 2 4926 3909 | E mail@eje.com.au | W www.eje.com.au E 1004 OF THE QUALITY ASSUMANCE CHECKS S VERFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT ACEL CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL MACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL MACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL ACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL ACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL ACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL ACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS DOUBLET IS RESUMMENT FOR WORKAL ACE CHECK IS MOUNTER F HIS RESUMMENT FOR MOUNT ARE THE PROPERTY ACE HIS COMPACTIONE ON REPROSENCE THE DOCUMENT AND PASSING I DEVELOPMENT FOR THE FOR THE PROPERTY ACE HIS COMPACTING REPROSENCE OF LEARCHIEST OF THE DOCUMENT AND PASSING I DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PROPERTY AND PASSING IN OF LEARCHIEST OF THE DOCUMENT IS NOT THE DOCUMENT AND PASSING IN ACE HIS COMPACTING REPROSENCE OF LEARCHIEST OF THE DOCUMENT AND PASSING IN ACE HIS COMPACTING AND PARAGE AND PASSING IN ON CHECK IS DOCUMENT AND PASSING IN ACE HIS COMPACTING AND PARAGE AND





EXISTING - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + HINKLER ST

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT VIEWPOINT 02



PROPOSED- CORNER OF CREBERT ST + HINKLER ST



KEY PLAN

Ind Architel - Benand Callins Index Architel - Benand Callins CNICS STREET, NEWCASTLE, ISNO 2014 E mail@leja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au 2479 2735 | F - Al 2462 3690 | E mail@leja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au EFION OF THE GUALITY ASSUBMACE CHECKS IS VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT MARK WITH THE REGULTERATING OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT MARK WITH THE REGULTERATING OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT MARK WITH THE REGULTERATING OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT MARK WITH THE REGULTERATING OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT MARK WITH THE REGULTERATION OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE DOCUMENT STATUS OF THE CALLING VERIFICATION OF HIGH CALLING VERIFICATION THAT THE POPERTY ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCOPYING OR REPRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT ARE THE POPERTY ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCOPYING OR REPROSIDING OF ELERACHITECTURE IS AN DIERES, WITHOUT, THE CONTROL THERMISSION OF ELERACHITECTURE IS AN ARCHITECTURE, PHOTOCOPYING OR REPRODUCING THE REPORTS



EXISTING - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + ANTILL ST

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT





PROPOSED - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + ANTILL ST



KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE

Ind Architel - Bernard Callins Index Architel - Bernard Callins Encited Respiration Act 438 149273351 [F - Al 2495 5890] E mail@eja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au 149273351 [F - Al 2495 5890] E mail@eja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au 149073351 [F - Al 2495 5890] E could be a could be a could be a could be a www.with the Respiration and the could be a could be a could be a could be a www.with the Respiration and the could be a could be a could be a could be a www.with the Respiration and the could be a could be a could be a could be a set of the could be a set of the could be a set of the could be a co



00mm ON ORIGINAL A3

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT

EXISTING - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + CHURCH ST







PROPOSED - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + CHURCH ST



KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE

Iod Architel - Bernard Callins Inches Respiration A 64-38 2007 2335 [F - Al 2495 5309] E mail@eja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au 2007 2335 [F - Al 2495 5309] E mail@eja.com.au | W www.eja.com.au 2007 2335 [F - Al 2495 5309] E could be a compared to the could be a www.with the Respiration and the could be a could be a compared by the could be well and the could be a compared by the could be a could be a compared by the could be a compared by the could be a compared by the could be a could be



00mm ON ORIGINAL A3



EXISTING - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + AVON ST

PROPOSED - CORNER OF CREBERT ST + AVON ST







KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE



WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT WESTS VIEWPOINT 06

EXISTING - MID POINT OF ANTILL ST





PROPOSED - MID POINT OF ANTILL ST



KEY PLAN





EXISTING - NORTHERN END OF ANTILL ST

PROPOSED - NORTHERN END OF ANTILL ST

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT VIEWPOINT 07





KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE





EXISTING - CORNER OF BULL ST + ELIZABETH ST

PROPOSED - CORNER OF BULL ST + ELIZABETH ST







KEY PLAN



EXISTING - INDUSTRIAL DR, WEST OF PROPOSAL

PROPOSED - INDUSTRIAL DR, WEST OF PROPOSAL







KEY PLAN





EXISTING - INDUSTRIAL DR, NORTH EAST OF PROPOSAL

WESTS MAYFIELD REDEVELOPMENT VIEWPOINT 10



PROPOSED - INDUSTRIAL DR, NORTH EAST OF PROPOSAL



KEY PLAN





EXISTING - CORNER OF INDUSTRIAL DR + INGALL ST

PROPOSED - CORNER OF INDUSTRIAL DR + INGALL ST







KEY PLAN

EJE ARCHITECTURE

